MEST - Assignment 4

Due date: 30/04/2024

(For each solution, show your work through a set of important steps.)

1. The Hatree-Fock energy expression is:

$$E^{HF} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int \chi_{i}(x_{i}) \hat{h}\chi_{i}(x_{i}) dx_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int dx_{i}x_{j}\chi_{i}(x_{i}) \chi_{j}(x_{j}) \frac{1}{\hat{r}_{12}}\chi_{i}(x_{i}) \chi_{j}(x_{j}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int dx_{i}x_{j}\chi_{i}(x_{i}) \chi_{j}(x_{j}) \frac{1}{\hat{r}_{12}}\chi_{i}(x_{j}) \chi_{j}(x_{i})$$

Where, $\chi(x)$ are the space-spin molecular orbitals with space-spin coordinate x, \hat{h} is one electron Hamiltonian and \hat{r} is the interelectron distance and N denotes the occupied molecular orbitals respectively. Now, for restricted Hartree-Fock case deduce the HF energy expression in terms of spatial molecular orbitals only. (3 pts)

2. For the H₂ molecule at equilibrium separation, we have following bonding and anitbonding MOs obtained using the AO basis functions $\chi_1 = \phi_{1sa}$, and $\chi_2 = \phi_{1sb}$.

$$\Psi_g = c_{11}\chi_1 + c_{12}\chi_2$$

$$\Psi_u = c_{21}\chi_1 + c_{22}\chi_2$$
where, $c_{11} = c_{12} = 0.554884228$, $c_{21} = -c_{22} = 1.21245192$. (2+2+3+3 pts)

- (a) Calculate ground and excited state orbital energies at the HF level.
- (b) Calculate total HF energy of the H₂ molecule
- (c) In this MO basis, construct the CISD (configuration interaction singles doubles) matrix with and without using the Slater-Condon rules, and check that the results are the same. Evaluate each matrix element.
- (d) Calculate CISD ground-state energy. Calculate the ratio of CISD correlation energy and HF total energy?

Use the following one and two electron integrals for calculations.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_{1} | \hat{h} | \chi_{1} \rangle &= \langle \chi_{2} | \hat{h} | \chi_{2} \rangle = -1.12095946, \\ \langle \chi_{1} | \hat{h} | \chi_{2} \rangle &= \langle \chi_{2} | \hat{h} | \chi_{1} \rangle = -0.95937577 \\ \langle \chi_{1} \chi_{1} | \chi_{1} \chi_{1} \rangle &= \langle \chi_{2} \chi_{2} | \chi_{2} \chi_{2} \rangle = 0.77460594, \\ \langle \chi_{1} \chi_{2} | \chi_{2} \chi_{2} \rangle &= \langle \chi_{2} \chi_{1} | \chi_{2} \chi_{2} \rangle = \langle \chi_{2} \chi_{2} | \chi_{1} \chi_{2} \rangle = \langle \chi_{2} \chi_{2} | \chi_{2} \chi_{1} \rangle = \\ \langle \chi_{1} \chi_{1} | \chi_{1} \chi_{2} \rangle &= \langle \chi_{1} \chi_{1} | \chi_{2} \chi_{1} \rangle = \langle \chi_{1} \chi_{2} | \chi_{1} \chi_{1} \rangle = \langle \chi_{2} \chi_{1} | \chi_{1} \chi_{1} \rangle = 0.44459112, \\ \langle \chi_{1} \chi_{2} | \chi_{1} \chi_{2} \rangle &= \langle \chi_{1} \chi_{2} | \chi_{2} \chi_{1} \rangle = \langle \chi_{2} \chi_{1} | \chi_{1} \chi_{2} \rangle = \langle \chi_{2} \chi_{1} | \chi_{2} \chi_{1} \rangle = 0.29759055, \\ \langle \chi_{1} \chi_{1} | \chi_{2} \chi_{2} \rangle &= \langle \chi_{2} \chi_{2} | \chi_{1} \chi_{1} \rangle = 0.56999488 \\ \langle \chi_{1} | \chi_{1} \rangle &= \langle \chi_{2} | \chi_{1} \rangle = 0.65987312 \end{aligned}$$

3. For the ground-state of H_2^+ , the simple MO wavefunction is $\sigma_g 1s(r_1) = \phi_{1sa}(r_1) + \phi_{1sb}(r_1)$, where *a* and *b* denote the two H atoms, and $\phi_{1sa} = \frac{e^{-r_a}}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ is the hydrogen AO. Using confocal elliptical coordinates (see Levine for definition), show that the overlap integral is given by $S_{ab} = e^{-R}(1 + R + \frac{R^2}{3})$. Here, *R* is the internuclear separation. The volume element in confocal elliptic coordinates is $dv = \frac{1}{8}R^3(\xi^2 - \eta^2)d\xi d\eta d\phi$. The following integral formula can be handy (4 pts):

$$\int_{t}^{\infty} z^{n} e^{-az} dz = \frac{n!}{a^{n+1}} e^{-at} \left(1 + at + \frac{a^{2}t^{2}}{2!} + \dots + \frac{a^{n}t^{n}}{n!} \right), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots > 0$$

4. The exact nuclear-electron wavefunction can be expanded in a basis of the BO wavefunctions

$$\Psi(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}) = \sum_{a\alpha} c_{a\alpha} \Phi_a(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \chi_{a\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$$

where $\Phi_a(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R})$ is the a^{th} eigenfunction of \hat{H}^{el} and $\chi_{a\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ is the α^{th} eigenfunction of nuclear Schrödinger equation for electronic surface a within BO approximation. Starting from the exact energy

$$\langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle$$
; $\hat{H} = \hat{T}_N + \hat{H}_{el}$

identify the Hamiltonian elements that should be neglected to obtain the energy expectation used in the Born-Oppenhimer approximation

$$\langle \chi_{a\alpha} | \hat{\mathbf{T}}_N + U_a(\mathbf{R}) | \chi_{a\alpha} \rangle$$
; $U_a(\mathbf{R}) = \langle \Phi_a | \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{el}(\mathbf{R}) | \Phi_a \rangle$. (4 pts)

- 5. For H₂ in a minimal basis-set, but with infinite nuclear separation and using only Φ_0 and $\Phi_{1\bar{1}}^{2\bar{2}}$ configurations, derive the CI wavefunctions corresponding to the ground and excited-states, i.e. solve for c_0 and c_1 for $\Psi = c_0 \Phi_0 + c_1 \Phi_{1\bar{1}}^{2\bar{2}}$. Comment on the ionic/covalent nature of the two CI wavefunctions by expanding the MOs of the two Slater determinants in AOs. (4 pts)
- 6. (a) The experimental force constants in $(N.m^{-1})$ for the diatomic molecules B_2 , C_2 , N_2 , O_2 , F_2 are 350, 930, 2260, 1140, 450 respectively. Can the trend be correctly predicted by MOT? Explain your answer.(5 pts)
 - (b) Under Born-Oppenheimer Approximation the force constant of a diatomic molecule will be independent of isotopic substitution. Justify or criticise the statement.(1 pt)
- 7. The molecule BF is isoelectronic with CO. However, the MOs for BF are different from those of CO. (2+1+2+2+2 pts)
 - (a) Construct a quantitative molecular-orbital energy-level diagram for CO in minimal basis-set using the following atomic orbital data:

Atom	Valence Orbital	Ionisation energy(in MJ/mol)
0	2s	3.116
Ο	$2\mathrm{p}$	1.524
\mathbf{C}	2s	1.872
\mathbf{C}	2p	1.023

- (b) The energy ordering of the MOs for BF is $\psi(2s_B + 2p_{z,F}) < \psi(2p_{x,B} 2p_{x,F})$ $< \psi(2s_B - 2p_{z,F}) < \psi(2p_{x,B} + 2p_{x,F}) < \psi(2p_{y,B})$. Explain what may be the reason for different ordering of BF than that of CO. [For further Reference: https://doi.org/10.1021/ed100758t]
- (c) What are the symmetry designations of the MOs for CO and BF?
- (d) What are the electron configuration of the ground state of CO and BF?
- (e) What are the bond orders for them? Are they paramagnetic or diamagnetic?